# Superfield Extended BRST Quantization in General Coordinates

B. Geyer<sup>a)\*</sup>, D.M. Gitman<sup>b)†</sup>, P.M. Lavrov<sup>a),c)‡</sup>, and P.Yu. Moshin<sup>b),c)§</sup>

a) Center of Theoretical Studies, Leipzig University, Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany b) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66318-CEP, 05315-970 São Paulo, S.P., Brazil c) Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634041 Tomsk, Russia

#### Abstract

We propose a superfield formalism of Lagrangian BRST–antiBRST quantization of arbitrary gauge theories in general coordinates with the base manifold of fields and antifields described in terms of both bosonic and fermionic variables.

## 1 Introduction

The principle of extended BRST symmetry provides the basis of several Lagrangian quantization schemes for general gauge theories, including the well-known Sp(2)-covariant approach [1] and its different modifications, e.g., the superfield formalism [2] and the two versions of triplectic quantization [3, 4]. In order to reveal the geometric content of extended BRST symmetry, it is important to study these quantization methods in general coordinates (see, e.g., [5, 6] and references therein).

In the recent paper [6], it was shown that the geometry of the Sp(2)-covariant and triplectic schemes is the geometry of an even symplectic supermanifold equipped with a scalar density function and a flat symmetric connection (covariant derivative), while the geometry of the modified triplectic quantization also includes a symmetric structure (analogous to a metric tensor). The study of [6] generalizes the concept of triplectic supermanifolds, introduced in [5], to the case of base manifolds [5, 6] containing not only bosonic but also fermionic variables.

In this paper, we propose a superfield version of the quantization scheme developed in [5, 6]. The superfield description naturally involves an extension of supermanifolds used in [5, 6]. Namely, the triplectic supermanifold is extended to the complete supermanifold of variables used in the original Sp(2)-covariant approach. Note that in Darboux coordinates a similar extension takes place in the superfield formulation [2] of the Sp(2)-covariant scheme.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we propose a superfield extension of triplectic supermanifolds and introduce an operation of covariant differentiation on such supermanifolds, following the approach of our previous works [5, 6]. In Section 3, we propose a manifest realization of the (modified) triplectic algebra [3, 4] and outline a suitable quantization procedure along the lines of [5, 6]. In Section 4, we summarize the results and make concluding remarks.

We use DeWitt's condensed notation [7] and apply tensor analysis on supermanifolds [8]. Left-hand derivatives with respect to some variables  $x^i$  are denoted as  $\partial_i A = \partial A/\partial x^i$ . Right-hand derivatives with respect to  $x^i$  are labelled by the subscript "r", and the notation  $A_{,i} = \partial_r A/\partial x^i$  is used. The covariant derivative  $\nabla$  (and other operators acting on tensor fields) is assumed to act from the right:  $A\nabla$ ; if necessary, the action of an operator from the right is indicated by an arrow, e.g.,  $\nabla$ . Raising the Sp(2)-group indices is performed with the help of the antisymmetric second rank tensor  $\varepsilon^{ab}$  (a, b = 1, 2):  $\theta^a = \varepsilon^{ab}\theta_b$ ,  $\varepsilon^{ac}\varepsilon_{cb} = \delta^a_b$ . The Grassmann parity of a quantity A is denoted by  $\varepsilon(A)$ .

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: geyer@itp.uni-leipzig.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>E-mail: gitman@dfn.if.usp.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>E-mail: lavrov@tspu.edu.ru

<sup>§</sup>E-mail: moshin@dfn.if.usp.br

# 2 Superfield Extension of Triplectic Supermanifolds

The supervariables used in various realizations of extended BRST symmetry can be naturally combined into a set  $(x^i, \theta_a^i, y^i)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., N = 2n. Thus, the supermanifolds of the triplectic [3] and modified triplectic [4] quantization schemes consist of the variables  $x^i = (\phi^A, \bar{\phi}_A)$  and  $\theta_a^i = (\pi_a^A, \phi_{Aa}^*)$ , where  $\phi^A$  are the fields of the configuration space of a general gauge theory; the antifields  $\bar{\phi}_A$  are the sources of the combined BRST-antiBRST symmetry; the antifields  $\phi_{Aa}^*$  are the sources of the BRST and antiBRST transformations; while  $\pi^{Aa}$  are auxiliary (gauge-fixing) fields. A superfield description [2] of extended BRST symmetry requires an extension of triplectic supermanifolds [3, 4] by the additional (external) variables  $y^i = (\lambda^A, J_A)$  arising in the original Sp(2)-covariant scheme [1], where  $\lambda^A$  are auxiliary (gauge-fixing) fields, and  $J_A$  are the sources to the fields  $\phi^A$ . The realization of extended BRST symmetry in general coordinates [6] is based on a tensor analysis on supermanifolds with coordinates  $(x^i, \theta_a^i)$ . In this section, we propose a superfield formulation of the analysis [6].

### 2.1 Superfields, Component Transformations

Let us consider a superspace spanned by space-time coordinates and an Sp(2)-doublet of anticommuting coordinates  $\eta^a$ . Any function  $f(\eta)$  has a component representation,

$$f(\eta) = f_0 + \eta^a f_a + \eta^2 f_3, \quad \eta^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \eta_a \eta^a,$$

and an integral representation.

$$f(\eta) = \int d^2 \eta' \, \delta(\eta' - \eta) f(\eta'), \quad \delta(\eta' - \eta) = (\eta' - \eta)^2,$$

where integration over  $\eta^a$  is given by

$$\int d^2\eta = 0, \quad \int d^2\eta \ \eta^a = 0, \quad \int d^2\eta \ \eta^a \eta^b = \varepsilon^{ab}.$$

In particular, for any superfield  $f(\eta)$  we have

$$\int d^2 \eta \, \frac{\partial f(\eta)}{\partial \eta^a} = 0,$$

which implies the property of integration by parts

$$\int d^2 \eta \, \frac{\partial f(\eta)}{\partial \eta^a} g(\eta) = - \int d^2 \eta \, (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)} f(\eta) \frac{\partial g(\eta)}{\partial \eta^a} \,,$$

where derivatives with respect to  $\eta^a$  are taken from the left.

Let us now introduce a set of superfields  $z^{i}(\eta)$ ,  $\epsilon(z^{i}) = \epsilon_{i}$ , i = 1, ..., N, with the component notation

$$z^{i}(\eta) = x^{i} + \eta^{a}\theta_{a}^{i} + \eta^{2}y^{i},$$

and the following distribution of Grassmann parity:

$$\epsilon(x^i) = \epsilon(y^i) = \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon(\theta_a^i) = \epsilon_i + 1.$$

We shall identify the components  $(x^i, \theta_a^i, y^i)$  with local coordinates of a supermanifold  $\mathcal{N}$ , dim  $\mathcal{N} = 4N$ , where the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , dim  $\mathcal{M} = 3N$ , with coordinates  $(x^i, \theta_a^i)$  is chosen as a triplectic supermanifold [5, 6]. We accordingly define the following transformations of the local coordinates:

$$\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(x), \quad \bar{\theta}^i_a = \theta^j_a \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^j}, \quad \bar{y}^i = y^i,$$
 (1)

where  $\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(x)$  are transformations on the submanifold M, dim M = N, with coordinates  $(x^i)$ , called the base supermanifold [6]. The transformations of the coordinates  $(x^i, \theta_a^i)$  are identical with

the transformations which define a triplectic supermanifold [5, 6]. The superfield derivative  $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^i(p)}$  with respect to variations  $\delta z^i(\eta) = \delta x^i + \eta^a \delta \theta_a^i$  induced by the component transformations (1),

$$\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^{i}(\eta)} = \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \theta_{a}^{i}} \eta_{a} + \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial x^{i}} \eta^{2}, \qquad (2)$$

is trivial on the external variables  $y^{i}$ . Using the derivative (2) and the transformations (1), we can introduce a superfield extension of covariant differentiation [5, 6] on triplectic supermanifolds  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### 2.2 Superfield Extension of Triplectic Covariant Derivative

As a preliminary step, we shall discuss some elements of tensor analysis on the base supermanifold M, referring for a detailed treatment of supermanifolds to the monograph [8]. To this end, let us consider a local coordinate system  $(x) = (x^1, ..., x^N)$  on the base supermanifold M, in the vicinity of a point P. Let the sets  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{e^i\}$  be coordinate bases in the tangent space  $T_PM$  and the cotangent space  $T_P^*M$ , respectively. Under a change of coordinates  $(x) \to (\bar{x})$ , the basis vectors in  $T_PM$  and  $T_P^*M$ transform according to

$$\bar{e}^i = e^j \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^j}, \quad \bar{e}_i = e_j \frac{\partial_r x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^i}.$$

The transformation matrices obey the following relations:

$$\frac{\partial_r \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial_r x^k}{\partial \bar{x}^j} = \delta^i_j \,, \quad \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^k} = \delta^i_j \,, \quad \frac{\partial_r x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^k} \frac{\partial_r \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^j} = \delta^i_j \,, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{x}^k}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^k} = \delta^i_j \,.$$

A tensor field of type (n,m) with rank n+m is given by a set of functions  $T^{i_1...i_n}_{j_1...j_m}(x)$ , with Grassmann parity  $\epsilon(T^{i_1...i_n}_{j_1...j_m}) = \epsilon(T) + \epsilon_{i_1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{i_n} + \epsilon_{j_1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{j_m}$ , which transform under a change of coordinates,  $(x) \to (\bar{x})$ , according to

$$\bar{T}^{i_{1}\dots i_{n}}_{j_{1}\dots j_{m}} = T^{l_{1}\dots l_{n}}_{k_{1}\dots k_{m}} \frac{\partial_{r} x^{k_{m}}}{\partial \bar{x}^{j_{m}}} \cdots \frac{\partial_{r} x^{k_{1}}}{\partial \bar{x}^{j_{1}}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{i_{1}}}{\partial x^{l_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{i_{1}}}{\partial x^{l_{1}}} \times (-1)^{\left(\sum\limits_{s=1}^{m-1}\sum\limits_{p=s+1}^{m} \epsilon_{j_{p}}(\epsilon_{j_{s}}+\epsilon_{k_{s}})+\sum\limits_{s=1}^{n}\sum\limits_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j_{p}}(\epsilon_{i_{s}}+\epsilon_{l_{s}})+\sum\limits_{s=1}^{n-1}\sum\limits_{p=s+1}^{n} \epsilon_{i_{p}}(\epsilon_{i_{s}}+\epsilon_{l_{s}})\right)}.$$

$$(3)$$

In particular, it is easy to see that the unit matrix  $\delta_j^i$  is a tensor field of type (1,1). By analogy with tensor analysis on manifolds, on supermanifolds one introduces an operation  $\nabla \equiv \overline{\nabla}$  of covariant differentiation of tensor fields, by the requirement that this operation should map a tensor field of type (n, m) into a tensor field of type (n, m + 1), and that, in case one can introduce local Cartesian coordinates, it should reduce to the usual differentiation. On an arbitrary supermanifold M, a covariant derivative is given by a variety of differentiations with respect to separate coordinates,  $\nabla = (\overset{M}{\nabla}_i)$ . These differentiations are local operations, acting on a tensor field of type (n,m) by the rule

$$T^{i_{1}\dots i_{n}} \int_{j_{1}\dots j_{m}}^{M} \nabla_{k} = T^{i_{1}\dots i_{n}} \int_{j_{1}\dots j_{m},k} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} T^{i_{1}\dots l_{m}} \int_{j_{1}\dots j_{m}}^{M} \int_{j_{1}\dots j_{m}}^{i_{r}} \int_{l_{k}}^{i_{r}} (-1)^{(\epsilon_{i_{r}}+\epsilon_{l})\left(\epsilon_{l}+\sum_{p=r+1}^{n} \epsilon_{i_{p}}+\sum_{p=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j_{p}}\right)} - \sum_{s=1}^{m} T^{i_{1}\dots i_{n}} \int_{j_{1}\dots l_{m}}^{M} \int_{j_{s}k}^{l} (-1)^{(\epsilon_{j_{s}}+\epsilon_{l})\sum_{p=s+1}^{m} \epsilon_{j_{p}}},$$

$$(4)$$

where  $\overset{M}{\Gamma}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(x)$  are generalized Christoffel symbols (connection coefficients), subject to the transformation law

$$\overset{M}{\bar{\Gamma}}{}^{k}{}_{ij} = (-1)^{\epsilon_{j}(\epsilon_{m}+\epsilon_{i})} \frac{\partial_{r} \bar{x}^{k}}{\partial x^{l}} \overset{M}{\Gamma}{}^{l}{}_{mn} \frac{\partial_{r} x^{n}}{\partial \bar{x}^{j}} \frac{\partial_{r} x^{m}}{\partial \bar{x}^{i}} + \frac{\partial_{r} \bar{x}^{k}}{\partial x^{m}} \frac{\partial_{r}^{2} x^{m}}{\partial \bar{x}^{i} \partial \bar{x}^{j}} \, .$$

In this paper, we restrict the consideration to symmetric connections, i.e., those possessing the property

$$\overset{M}{\Gamma}{}^{k}{}_{ij} = (-1)^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \overset{M}{\Gamma}{}^{k}{}_{ji} \,.$$

Note that this property is fulfilled automatically in case a local Cartesian system can be introduced on the supermanifold M.

The curvature tensor  $R^{i}_{mjk}(x)$  is defined by the action of the (generalized) commutator of covariant derivatives  $[\overset{M}{\nabla}_i,\overset{M}{\nabla}_j] = \overset{M}{\nabla}_i\overset{M}{\nabla}_j - (-1)^{\epsilon_i\epsilon_j}\overset{M}{\nabla}_j\overset{M}{\nabla}_i$  on a vector field  $T^i$  by the rule

$$T^{i}[\overset{M}{\nabla}_{j},\overset{M}{\nabla}_{k}] = -(-1)^{\epsilon_{m}(\epsilon_{i}+1)}T^{m}\overset{M}{R}{}^{i}{}_{mjk}\,.$$

A straightforward calculation yields the following result:

$$R^{i}_{mjk} = -\Gamma^{M}_{imj,k} + \Gamma^{M}_{mk,j}(-1)^{\epsilon_{j}\epsilon_{k}} + \Gamma^{M}_{jl}\Gamma^{M}_{mk}(-1)^{\epsilon_{j}\epsilon_{m}} - \Gamma^{M}_{ikl}\Gamma^{M}_{mj}(-1)^{\epsilon_{k}(\epsilon_{m}+\epsilon_{j})}.$$
 (5)

The curvature tensor (5) possesses the property of generalized symmetry

$$\overset{M}{R}{}^{i}{}_{mjk} = -(-1)^{\epsilon_{j}\epsilon_{k}}\overset{M}{R}{}^{i}{}_{mkj}$$

and obeys the Jacobi identity

$$(-1)^{\epsilon_j \epsilon_l} \stackrel{M}{R}^i_{jkl} + \operatorname{cycle}(j, k, l) \equiv 0.$$

On triplectic supermanifolds  $\mathcal{M}$ , one defines [6] covariant differentiation of tensor fields transforming as tensors on the base supermanifold M. In a similar way, we introduce a superfield extension of the triplectic covariant derivative. Having in mind the coordinate transformations (1) on the supermanifold  $\mathcal{N}$ , we define a tensor field of type (n,m) and rank n+m as a geometric object which in any local coordinate system  $(x, \theta, y)$  is given by a set of functions  $T^{i_1...i_n}_{j_1...j_m}(z)$  transforming by the tensor law (3). Let us define the superfield covariant derivative  $\mathcal{D} \equiv \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  in a Cartesian coordinate system to coincide with  $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^i(\eta)}$ , given by (2). Then, in general coordinates,  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_i(\eta))$  becomes

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_i(\eta) = \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \theta_i^i} \eta_a + \overleftarrow{\nabla}_i \eta^2.$$

Here, each term of the  $\eta$ -expansion acts as a covariant differentiation of tensor fields  $T^{i_1...i_n}_{j_1...j_m}(z)$ . The component  $\overset{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_i$  is an extension of the covariant derivative  $\overset{M}{\nabla}_i$ , given by (4) on the base superman-

ifold M, namely.

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla_i} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla_i} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \theta_a^k} \theta_a^m \Gamma^k_{mi} (-1)^{\epsilon_m(\epsilon_k + 1)}. \tag{6}$$

The operation  $\nabla_i$  coincides<sup>1</sup> with the triplectic covariant derivative [6]. Since by definition  $(x^i, \theta_a^i)$  are independent coordinates, (4), (6) imply that the vectors  $\theta_a^i$  are covariantly constant with respect to  $\overset{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_{i},$  namely,

$$\theta_a^i \overset{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_j = 0. \tag{7}$$

By virtue of (4), (6), the commutator of two superfield covariant derivatives  $\mathcal{D}_i(\eta)$  has the form

$$\left[\mathcal{D}_{i}(\eta), \mathcal{D}_{j}(\eta')\right] = \left[\stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_{i}, \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_{j}\right] \eta^{2} \left(\eta'\right)^{2}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To observe the coincidence of (6) with the triplectic covariant derivative [6], one should go over to the parameterization  $(x^i, \theta_{ia})$ , where  $\theta_{ia}$  transform as vectors of the tangent space  $T_PM$  (for details, see Section 3.4).

From (6), (7), it follows that the action of this commutator on a scalar field T = T(z) is given by

$$T\left[\mathcal{D}_{i}(\eta), \mathcal{D}_{j}(\eta')\right] = (-1)^{\epsilon_{m}(\epsilon_{n}+1)} \eta^{2} \left(\eta'\right)^{2} \frac{\partial_{r} T}{\partial \theta_{a}^{n}} \theta_{a}^{m} R^{m}_{mij},$$

where  $R^{n}_{mij}$  is the curvature tensor (5) on the base supermanifold.

# 3 Superfield Realization of (Modified) Triplectic Algebra

The extended BRST quantization in general coordinates [6] is based on a realization of the so-called triplectic [3] and modified triplectic [4] operator algebras. The operators obeying these algebras are originally defined on triplectic supermanifolds  $\mathcal{M}$ . In this section, we propose a superfield formulation of [6], realized on extended supermanifolds  $\mathcal{N}$ . Namely, we construct a manifestly superfield realization of the (modified) triplectic algebra, which permits us to formulate a superfield realization of extended BRST quantization in general coordinates, along the lines of [6].

#### 3.1 Triplectic and Modified Triplectic Algebras

The triplectic algebra [3] includes two sets of second- and first-order operators,  $\overleftarrow{\Delta}^a$  and  $\overleftarrow{V}^a$ , respectively, having the Grassmann parity  $\epsilon(\Delta^a) = \epsilon(V^a) = 1$ , and obeying the following relations:

$$\Delta^{\{a}\Delta^{b\}} = 0, \quad V^{\{a}V^{b\}} = 0, \quad V^{a}\Delta^{b} + \Delta^{b}V^{a} = 0.$$
(8)

The modified triplectic quantization [4], in comparison with the Sp(2)-covariant approach [1] and the triplectic scheme [3], involves an additional Sp(2)-doublet of first-order operators  $U^a$ ,  $\epsilon(U^a) = 1$ , with the modified triplectic algebra [4] given by the relations

$$\Delta^{\{a}\Delta^{b\}} = 0, \quad V^{\{a}V^{b\}} = 0, \quad U^{\{a}U^{b\}} = 0,$$

$$V^{\{a}\Delta^{b\}} + \Delta^{\{b}V^{a\}} = 0, \quad \Delta^{\{a}U^{b\}} + U^{\{a}\Delta^{b\}} = 0, \quad U^{\{a}V^{b\}} + V^{\{a}U^{b\}} = 0.$$
(9)

In (8), (9), the curly brackets denote symmetrization with respect to the enclosed indices a and b. Using the odd second-order differential operators  $\Delta^a$ , one can introduce a pair of bilinear operations  $(\ ,\ )^a$ , by the rule

$$(F,G)^a = (-1)^{\epsilon(G)}(FG)\Delta^a - (-1)^{\epsilon(G)}(F\Delta^a)G - F(G\Delta^a). \tag{10}$$

The operations (10) possess the Grassmann parity  $\epsilon((F,G)^a) = \epsilon(F) + \epsilon(G) + 1$  and obey the following symmetry property:

$$(F,G)^a = -(-1)^{(\epsilon(G)+1)(\epsilon(F)+1)}(G,F)^a.$$

The operations (10) are linear with respect to both arguments,

$$(F+G,H)^a = (F,H)^a + (G,H)^a, \quad (F,G+H)^a = (F,G)^a + (F,H)^a,$$

and obey the Leibniz rule

$$(F, GH)^a = (F, G)^a H + (F, H)^a G(-1)^{\epsilon(G)\epsilon(H)}.$$

Due to the properties (8) of the operators  $\Delta^a$ , the odd bracket operations satisfy the generalized Jacobi identity

$$(F, (G, H)^{\{a\}b\}}(-1)^{(\epsilon(F)+1)(\epsilon(H)+1)} + \operatorname{cycle}(F, G, H) \equiv 0.$$

In view of their properties, the operations  $(\ ,\ )^a$  form a set of antibrackets, such as those introduced for the first time in [1]. Therefore, having an explicit realization of operators  $\Delta^a$  with the properties (8), one can generate the extended antibrackets explicitly, using (10). Explicit realizations of  $\Delta^a$  are known in two cases: in Darboux coordinates [1, 3, 4], and in general coordinates on triplectic supermanifolds  $\mathcal{M}$ , where the base supermanifold M is a flat Fedosov supermanifold [6, 9].

#### 3.2 Realization of Triplectic Algebra

To find an explicit superfield realization of the triplectic algebra (8) in general coordinates, we shall use the assumptions of [6] concerning the properties of the base supermanifold M. Thus, we equip M with a Poisson structure, namely, with a nondegenerate *even* second-rank tensor field  $\omega^{ij}(x)$ , and its inverse  $\omega_{ij}(x)$ ,  $\epsilon(\omega^{ij}) = \epsilon(\omega_{ij}) = \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$ ,

$$\omega^{ik}\omega_{kj}(-1)^{\epsilon_k} = \delta^i_j, \quad \omega_{ik}\omega^{kj}(-1)^{\epsilon_i} = \delta^j_i,$$

obeying the properties of generalized antisymmetry

$$\omega^{ij} = -(-1)^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \omega^{ji} \Leftrightarrow \omega_{ij} = -(-1)^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \omega_{ji},$$

and satisfying the following Jacobi identities:

$$\omega^{il}\partial_l\omega^{jk}(-1)^{\epsilon_i\epsilon_k} + \operatorname{cycle}(i,j,k) \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega_{ij,k}(-1)^{\epsilon_i\epsilon_k} + \operatorname{cycle}(i,j,k) \equiv 0.$$

The tensor field  $\omega^{ij}$  defines a Poisson bracket [6], and, due to its nondegeneracy, also a corresponding even symplectic structure [6] on the base supermanifold. In view of this fact, the supermanifold M can be regarded as an even Poisson supermanifold, as well as an even symplectic supermanifold. Following [6], we demand that the covariant derivative  $\nabla_i$  should respect the Poisson structure  $\omega^{ij}$ ,

$$\omega^{ij} \overset{M}{\nabla}_{k} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega_{ij} \overset{M}{\nabla}_{k} = 0, \tag{11}$$

which provides the covariant constancy of the differential two-form  $\omega = \omega_{ij} dx^j \wedge dx^i$ . Thus, the base supermanifold M can be regarded as an even symplectic supermanifold, being a supersymmetric extension [6, 9] of the Fedosov manifold [10, 11]. One can formally identify  $\omega^{ij}$  and  $\omega_{ij}$  with some functions of the supervariables  $z^i(\eta)$ , i.e.,  $\Omega^{ij}(z) = \omega^{ij}(x)$  and  $\Omega_{ij}(z) = \omega_{ij}(x)$ . It is obvious that the tensor fields  $\Omega^{ij}$  and  $\Omega_{ij}$  are covariantly constant:

$$\Omega^{ij}\mathcal{D}_k(\eta) = \Omega_{ij}\mathcal{D}_k(\eta) = 0.$$

The introduced structures allow one to equip the supermanifold  $\mathcal{N}$  with a superfield Sp(2)irreducible second-rank tensor  $S_{ab}$ ,

$$S_{ab} = \frac{1}{6} \int d^2 \eta \, \eta^2 \frac{\partial z^i}{\partial \eta^a} \Omega_{ij} \frac{\partial z^j}{\partial \eta^b} \,, \quad \epsilon(S_{ab}) = 0, \tag{12}$$

invariant under changes of local coordinates on  $\mathcal{N}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{S}_{ab} = S_{ab}$ , and symmetric with respect to the Sp(2)-indices,  $S_{ab} = S_{ba}$ .

Following [5, 6], we also equip the base supermanifold M with a scalar density  $\rho(x)$ ,  $\epsilon(\rho) = 0$ . Using the covariant derivative  $\mathcal{D}_i(\eta)$ , we can construct a superfield Sp(2)-doublet of odd second-order differential operators  $\Delta^a$ , acting as scalars on the supermanifold  $\mathcal{N}$ ,

$$\overleftarrow{\Delta}^{a} = \int d^{2}\eta \, \eta^{2} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{i} \frac{\partial_{r}}{\partial \eta^{a}} \right) \Omega^{ij} \left[ \left( \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{j} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{R} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{j}) \right) \frac{\partial_{r}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \right] (-1)^{\epsilon_{i} + \epsilon_{j}}, \tag{13}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}(z) \equiv \rho(x)$ .

The operators (13) generate a superfield Sp(2)-doublet of antibracket operations,

$$(F,G)^{a} = -\int d^{2}\eta \,\eta^{2} \left( F \mathcal{D}_{i} \frac{\partial_{r}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \right) \Omega^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{a}} \left( G \mathcal{D}_{j} \right) (-1)^{\epsilon_{j} \epsilon(G)} - (-1)^{(\epsilon(F)+1)(\epsilon(G)+1)} (F \leftrightarrow G). \tag{14}$$

These operations possess all the properties of extended antibrackets [1], except the Jacobi identity, which is closely related to the properties (8) of anticommutativity and nilpotency of  $\Delta^a$ .

Using the operations (14) and the irreducible second-rank Sp(2)-tensor  $S_{ab}$  in (12), we define the following Sp(2)-doublet of odd first-order differential operators  $V_a$ :

$$\overleftarrow{V}_a = (\cdot, S_{ab})^b = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 \eta \, \eta^2 \left( \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_i \frac{\partial_r}{\partial \eta^2} \right) \frac{\partial_r z^i}{\partial \eta^a} \,. \tag{15}$$

Straightforward calculations, analogous to [6], with allowance for the manifest form of the operators  $\Delta^a$ ,  $V^a$ , (13), (15), show that there exists such a choice of the density function  $\mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R} = -\log \operatorname{sdet} \left(\Omega^{ij}\right),$$

that the triplectic algebra (8) is fulfilled on  $\mathcal{N}$  in case the base supermanifold M is a flat Fedosov supermanifold:

$$\overset{M}{R}{}^{i}{}_{mjk}=0,$$

with the curvature tensor  $R^{i}_{mjk}$  given by (5). Thus, we have explicitly realized the extended antibrackets (14) and the triplectic algebra (8) of the generating operators  $\Delta^{a}$ ,  $V^{a}$ .

#### 3.3 Realization of Modified Triplectic Algebra

In view of (8), to complete the explicit superfield realization of the modified triplectic algebra (9) in general coordinates, it remains to construct the operators  $U^a$ . To this end, following [6], we introduce another geometrical structure on the base supermanifold M. Namely, we consider a symmetric second-rank tensor  $g_{ij}(x) = (-1)^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} g_{ji}(x)$ , which we identify with a tensor field  $G_{ij}(z)$ . The introduced tensor field can be used to construct on  $\mathcal{N}$  an Sp(2) scalar function  $S_0$ , the so-called anti-Hamiltonian,

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \int d^2 \eta \, \eta^2 \frac{\partial_r z^i}{\partial \eta^a} \, G_{ij} \frac{\partial_r z^j}{\partial \eta^b} \,, \quad \epsilon(S_0) = 0.$$
 (16)

The anti-Hamiltonian  $S_0$  generates vector fields  $U^a$ ,

$$\overleftarrow{U}^{a} = (\cdot, S_{0})^{a} = \int d^{2}\eta \, \eta^{2} \left[ \left( \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{i} \frac{\partial_{r}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \right) \Omega^{im} G_{mn} \frac{\partial z^{n}}{\partial \eta_{a}} (-1)^{\epsilon_{m}} \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{i} \frac{\partial_{r}}{\partial \eta^{a}} \right) \Omega^{ij} \frac{\partial_{r} z^{m}}{\partial \eta^{c}} \left( G_{mn} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{j} \frac{\partial_{r}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \right) \frac{\partial_{r} z^{n}}{\partial \eta_{c}} (-1)^{\epsilon_{i} + \epsilon_{j} \epsilon_{n}} \right].$$

The algebraic conditions (9) yield the following equations for  $S_0$ :

$$(S_0, S_0)^a = 0, \quad S_0 V^a = 0, \quad S_0 \Delta^a = 0.$$
 (17)

Solutions of these equations always exist. An example of such soultions can be found in the class of covariantly constant<sup>2</sup> tensor fields  $G_{ij}$ ,  $G_{ij}\mathcal{D}_k = 0$ . We do not restrict ourselves to this special case, and simply assume that equations (17) are fulfilled. Thus, we obtain a realization of the modified triplectic algebra (9), and have at our disposal all the ingredients for the quantization of general gauge theories within the modified triplectic scheme.

#### 3.4 Quantization

The quantization procedure repeats all the essential steps taken for the first time in [5], and leads to the vacuum functional

$$Z = \int dz \, \mathcal{D}_0 \exp\{(i/\hbar)[W + X + \alpha S_0]\},\tag{18}$$

where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary constant; the function  $S_0$  is given by (16), while the quantum action W = W(z) and the gauge-fixing functional X = X(z) satisfy the following quantum master equations:

$$\frac{1}{2}(W,W)^a + W\mathcal{V}^a = i\hbar W\Delta^a,\tag{19}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(X,X)^a + X\mathcal{U}^a = i\hbar X\Delta^a. \tag{20}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In the class of covariantly constant tensors  $G_{ij}$ , solutions of (17) can be selected by imposing the condition  $G_{ij}(\mathcal{RD}_k)\Omega^{kj}=0$ . The simplest solution of this kind is given by a covariantly constant scalar density  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In (18), integration over the supervariables is understood as integration over their components,

$$dz = dx d\theta_a dy$$

with the integration measure  $\mathcal{D}_0$  given by

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \left[ \operatorname{sdet} \left( \Omega^{ij} \right) \right]^{-3/2}.$$

In (19) and (20), we have introduced operators  $\mathcal{V}^a$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^a$ , according to

$$\mathcal{V}^a = \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha U^a + \beta V^a + \gamma U^a \right), \quad \mathcal{U}^a = \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha U^a - \beta V^a - \gamma U^a \right).$$

It is obvious that for arbitrary constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  the operators  $\mathcal{V}^a$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^a$  obey the properties

$$\mathcal{V}^{\{a}\mathcal{V}^{b\}} = 0$$
,  $\mathcal{U}^{\{a}\mathcal{U}^{b\}} = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^{\{a}\mathcal{U}^{b\}} + \mathcal{U}^{\{a}\mathcal{V}^{b\}} = 0$ .

Therefore, the operators  $\Delta^a$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^a$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^a$  also realize the modified triplectic algebra.

The integrand of the vacuum functional (18) is invariant under extended BRST transformations defined by the generators

$$\delta^a = (\cdot, W - X)^a + \mathcal{V}^a - \mathcal{U}^a. \tag{21}$$

In the usual manner, this allows one to prove that, for every given set of the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , the vacuum functional (18) does not depend on a choice of the gauge-fixing function X.

Let us analyze the component structure of the proposed quantization scheme in order to establish its relation with the modified triplectic quantization in general coordinates [6]. To this end, note that the integration measure  $\mathcal{D}_0$  and the function  $S_0$ ,

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \theta_a^i g_{ij} \theta_b^j (-1)^{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j},$$

coincide with the corresponding objects of [6]. The operators  $\Delta^a$ ,  $V^a$ ,  $U^a$  and antibrackets  $(\ ,\ )^a$  have the form

$$\overleftarrow{\Delta}^{a} = (-1)^{\epsilon_{i}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \theta_{ia}} \left( \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{,i} \right),$$

$$\overleftarrow{V}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i} \omega^{ij} \theta_{jb},$$

$$\overleftarrow{U}^{a} = -\overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i} \omega^{im} g_{mn} \theta^{na} (-1)^{\epsilon_{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \theta_{ia}} \theta_{c}^{m} (g_{mn} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i}) \theta^{nc} (-1)^{\epsilon_{n}(\epsilon_{i}+1)+\epsilon_{m}},$$

$$(F, G)^{a} = (F \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i}) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_{ia}} - (-1)^{(\epsilon(F)+1)(\epsilon(G)+1)} (G \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{i}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_{ia}},$$

where  $\theta_{ia}$ , defined by  $\theta_a^i = \omega^{ij}\theta_{ja}(-1)^{\epsilon_i}$ , are covariantly constant covectors,  $\theta_{ia}\overset{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_j = 0$ , while  $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\theta_{ia}}$  transform as vectors. The above component expressions imply that the operators  $\Delta^a$ ,  $V^a$ ,  $U^a$  and antibrackets coincide with the corresponding objects of [6], which follows from the coincidence of  $\overset{\mathcal{M}}{\nabla}_i$  with the triplectic covariant derivative [6], given by

$$\overset{\mathcal{M}}{\overleftarrow{\nabla}}_{i} = \overset{M}{\overleftarrow{\nabla}}_{i} + \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \theta_{ma}} \theta_{ka} \overset{M}{\Gamma}^{k}{}_{mi}.$$

In case local Cartesian coordinates can be introduced on M, the coincidence of derivatives is automatic, while in the case of arbitrary connection coefficients, the coincidence takes place since M is a Fedosov supermanifold, namely, due to (11). Equations (19), (20) formally coincide with the master equations of [6], because the external variables  $y^i$  enter only as arguments of W(z) and X(z). In Darboux coordinates  $(\tilde{z}^{\mu}, y^i)$ ,  $y^i = (\lambda^A, J_A)$ , one can choose solutions of (19), (20) as solutions of the master equations [6], namely,  $W = W(\tilde{z})$ ,  $X = X(\tilde{z}, \lambda)$ . Since in the coordinates  $(\tilde{z}^{\mu}, y^i)$  the tensor  $\omega^{ij}$  can be chosen [5] such that  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \text{const}$ , the vacuum functional (18) reduces to

$$Z = \int d\tilde{z} \, d\lambda \exp\{(i/\hbar)[W(\tilde{z}) + X(\tilde{z}, \lambda) + \alpha S_0(\tilde{z})]\},$$

which is identical with the vacuum functional [6], written in Darboux coordinates.

#### 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a superfield realization of extended BRST symmetry in general coordinates, along the lines of our recent works [5, 6] on modified triplectic quantization. We have found an explicit superfield realization of the modified triplectic algebra of generating operators  $\Delta^a$ ,  $V^a$ ,  $U^a$  on an extended supermanifold  $\mathcal{N}$ , obtained from the triplectic supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  by adding external supervariables, which, in Darboux coordinates, can be interpreted as sources  $J_A$  to the fields and as auxiliary gauge-fixing variables  $\lambda^A$ . The present study applies the essential ingredients of [5, 6], and has the same general features. Thus, the base supermanifold M of fields and antifields is a flat Fedosov supermanifold equipped with a symmetric structure. As in [5, 6], the formalism is characterized by free parameters,  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , whose specific choice in Darboux coordinates reproduces all the known schemes of covariant quantization based on extended BRST symmetry (for details, see [5]). Every specific choice of the free parameters  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  yields a gauge-independent vacuum functional and, therefore, a gauge independent S-matrix (see [12]).

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to D.V. Vassilevich for stimulating discussions. The work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), grant GE 696/7-1. D.M.G. acknowledges the support of the foundations FAPESP, CNPq and DAAD. The work of P.M.L. was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR), 02-02-04002, 03-02-16193, and by the President grant 1252.2003.2 for Support of Leading Scientific Schools. P.Yu.M. is grateful to FAPESP, grant 02/00423-4.

### References

- I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, J. Math. Phys. 31, 1487 (1990); 32, 532 (1990);
   P.M. Lavrov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6, 2051 (1991); Theor. Math. Phys. 89, 1187 (1991).
- P.M. Lavrov, Phys. Lett. B366, 160 (1996); Theor. Math. Phys. 107, 602 (1996);
   P.M. Lavrov and P.Yu. Moshin, Phys. Lett. B508, 127 (2001); Theor. Math. Phys. 129, 1645 (2001).
- [3] I.A. Batalin and R. Marnelius, Phys. Lett. B350, 44 (1995); Nucl. Phys. B465, 521 (1996);
   I.A. Batalin, R. Marnelius and A.M. Semikhatov, Nucl. Phys. B446, 249 (1995).
- [4] B. Geyer, D.M. Gitman and P.M. Lavrov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A14, 661 (1999); Theor. Math. Phys. 123, 813 (2000).
- [5] B. Geyer, P. Lavrov and A. Nersessian, Phys. Lett. B512, 211 (2001); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A17, 1183 (2002).
- [6] B. Geyer and P. Lavrov, Modified triplectic quantization in general coordinates, hep-th/0304011.
- [7] B.S. DeWitt, Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965).
- [8] B. DeWitt, Supermanifolds, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [9] B. Geyer and P. Lavrov, Fedosov supermanifolds: basic properties and the difference in even and odd cases, hep-th/0306218.
- [10] B.V. Fedosov, J. Diff. Geom. 40, 213 (1994);
   B.V. Fedosov, Deformation Quantization and Index Theory (Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996).
- [11] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh and M. Shubin, Advan. Math. 136, 104 (1998); dg-ga/9707024.
- [12] I.V. Tyutin, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 65, 194 (2002).